
Public Policy: Jurnal Aplikasi Kebijakan Publik dan Bisnis



LPPM STIA Said Perintah

Volume 6, No. 2, September 2025

<https://stia-saidperintah.e-journal.id/ppj>

Received; 2025 - 03 - 04

Accepted; 2025 - 04 - 15

Published; 2025 - 04 - 25



The editorial board holds publication rights for articles under a CC BY SA license, allowing distribution without separate permission if credited. Published articles are openly accessible for research, with no liability for other copyright violations (<https://stia-saidperintah.e-journal.id/ppj/kebijakanhakcipta>).



[Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/)

Transforming Village Development: Strategies for Boosting Community Involvement

Agus Hariyanto¹⁾

Dwi Putranto Riau²⁾

M. Nur Affandi³⁾

^{1,2} Universitas Terbuka UPBJJ Palembang,
Sumatra Selatan, Indonesia

² Universitas Terbuka, Tangerang,
Jawa Barat, Indonesia

³ Politeknik STIA LAN Bandung,
Jawa Barat, Indonesia

agushariyanto1313@gmail.com

Abstract

This study examines community participation in village development planning in Nusa Maju Village, Belitang III District, South Sumatra. Employing a qualitative descriptive approach, the research explores the extent of participation across four stages: planning, implementation, evaluation, and utilization. It also identifies key factors influencing engagement, such as socio-economic conditions, government policies, and cultural norms. The findings reveal high levels of participation during the planning and implementation stages, but limited involvement in evaluation and monitoring. The study emphasizes the importance of government transparency, inclusive decision-making, and community empowerment in fostering greater participation. It contributes to the broader understanding of participatory development and offers recommendations for enhancing community engagement in future village development initiatives.

Keywords : Community Participation,
Village Planning,
Village Development

Introduction

Community participation is an essential component for the success of village development, as it not only enhances the quality of life but also creates socio-economic opportunities for individuals and groups. However, despite its recognized significance, the level of participation in the development planning process varies significantly across different regions (Suškevičs et al., 2019). In the case of Nusa Maju Village, initial observations reveal a noticeable gap between the potential for active community engagement and the actual level of participation in the development process. This gap can be attributed to several local factors, including limited access to information, economic hardships faced by residents, and the influence of traditional social structures that discourage active involvement in decision-making processes. Moreover, the village's policies regarding community participation have not been effectively communicated, resulting in low awareness and engagement among the residents. Although the village follows the typical stages of planning, development, utilization, and evaluation, community participation remains relatively limited. This discrepancy presents a critical area for investigation, as understanding the factors influencing participation can provide valuable insights for improving local governance and community development strategies.

Community participation is vital for the success of village development because it enhances the quality of life and creates socio-economic opportunities for individuals and groups (Freitas & Costa, 2022). Active participation involves engaging in decision-making processes and benefiting from outcomes such as employment and business opportunities. Community-based organizations play a key role in promoting participation through interactive approaches that empower local communities. When community members are involved in all stages of development planning, it ensures that projects align with their interests and needs (Lucky, 2022). For example, a study by Ibran, (2018) found high levels of participation in Lerepako Village, where residents were enthusiastic about contributing to both the planning and implementation phases. The study also emphasized the transparency of the development process, with consistent communication and active community involvement throughout.

However, despite the clear benefits of community participation, initial observations in Nusa Maju Village suggest that, although the development planning process follows the

established stages of planning, development, utilization of results, and evaluation, community participation remains limited. Several factors may contribute to this low level of participation, and further investigation is needed to identify the underlying causes. These factors could include social, economic, and governmental influences, all of which may hinder active engagement and need to be addressed to enhance community involvement in the development process.

Limited community engagement in Nusa Maju Village can be attributed to various factors. Social barriers, such as a lack of awareness or understanding about the importance of active participation, often prevent residents from fully engaging in the development process (Li et al., 2024). Furthermore, traditional social structures or community apathy can hinder involvement, especially when residents feel disconnected from decision-making (Mcfarlane, 2000). Economic constraints also play a significant role, as many residents face financial hardships that limit their ability to dedicate time or resources to community activities (Jacobsen, 2002). This lack of economic stability reduces the motivation to participate in development projects that do not provide immediate benefits to their personal livelihoods.

The role of local government is equally crucial in either promoting or hindering participation. Inadequate government policies or unclear communication regarding opportunities for involvement can create barriers, preventing the community from engaging. Without proper support, such as clear information, training, or incentives, the community may remain disengaged. Additionally, cultural norms and values strongly influence participation. In some villages, deeply rooted cultural practices shape how people interact with formal development processes. If development initiatives are not culturally sensitive or do not resonate with local values, they may struggle to gain widespread support.

Previous research indicates that economic stability and access to information are significant drivers of community involvement (Irawan, 2024). Similarly, research by Arifa, (2019) states that local government policies play a crucial role in facilitating community participation in village development. The government's role in determining the use of village funds can impact the level of community involvement in planning, implementation, and monitoring of development programs. The barriers to participation in village planning processes, such as lack of awareness, cultural factors, and economic limitations, are

primarily due to unawareness, insufficient training, lack of financial resources, and concerns about preserving cultural values (Kala & Bagri, 2018).

The study by Ibran, (2018), while highlighting high levels of community participation in Lerepako Village, does not delve into the factors influencing this participation, both supportive and inhibitive. It lacks an analysis of the barriers to participation in village development. This gap is particularly significant because understanding the factors that either promote or hinder participation is essential for designing more effective development strategies. By focusing solely on the positive aspects of community participation, without exploring the underlying causes, the study overlooks critical issues that could improve or hinder future community engagement.

Therefore, this research aims to fill this gap by investigating the specific factors that both support and limit community participation in Nusa Maju Village. Specifically, it will explore the socio-economic, governmental, and cultural barriers that may be affecting community involvement. Through a deeper analysis of these obstacles, the study aims to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the challenges to community participation, offering recommendations for enhancing future development processes. In doing so, this research will contribute to the existing literature by addressing the limitations of previous studies, such as Ibran, (2018), which did not sufficiently investigate these underlying factors.

In addition to exploring these barriers, this study will introduce a new approach by conducting a more in-depth analysis of government strategies aimed at improving community participation in development planning. Unlike previous studies that primarily focused on the level of participation, this research will investigate the specific roles that local government policies play in either facilitating or obstructing community engagement. It will analyze how government strategies, such as public awareness campaigns, participatory decision-making processes, and resource allocation, influence community involvement.

Furthermore, this study will introduce new variables related to community empowerment and government transparency. While previous studies have generally looked at participation levels, this research will examine how empowering communities with better access to information and involving them in transparent governance processes can lead to more active participation. These variables, which have not been fully explored in prior

research, will provide fresh insights into how to foster more effective and sustainable participation in village development.

This study aims to assess the level of community participation in the development planning process in Nusa Maju Village and analyze the strategies implemented by the village government to enhance such participation. By exploring the barriers to participation and introducing new variables such as community empowerment and government transparency, this research seeks to address the gap left by prior studies, such as Ibran, (2018), which did not examine the factors influencing community participation, both supportive and inhibitive. In this regard, the novelty of this research lies in its focus on analyzing the government's role and strategies in fostering increased community engagement. The author is particularly interested in conducting this study in Nusa Maju Village, with a case study approach in Nusa Maju Village, Belitang III District, East Ogan Komering Ulu Regency, South Sumatra Province.

Theoretical Framework

Community Participation Theory

Community participation is a critical factor in the success of development projects, influencing both the process and outcomes. Chambers, (1987) argues that true participation goes beyond the implementation of externally designed activities; it involves empowering communities to make decisions that affect their lives. Arnstein, (2019) further elaborates on this concept with his "Ladder of Participation," which categorizes community involvement from simple information provision to full citizen control over decision-making. This model emphasizes the importance of assessing the depth of participation, focusing on the level of decision-making power held by the community.

Putnam (1995), in his theory of social capital, highlights the role of strong social networks and mutual trust in fostering active participation. Communities with interconnected social ties are more likely to engage in collective actions, driven by a shared sense of responsibility. However, factors such as social hierarchies, economic challenges, and cultural misalignments often limit participation (Putnam, 1995). These barriers can undermine community engagement by hindering the sense of ownership and involvement in the development process.

Understanding these barriers is essential for addressing the challenges that limit community participation, especially in contexts like Nusa Maju Village. By identifying these obstacles, it is possible to design more effective policies that foster inclusivity and encourage greater community involvement in development activities. This approach can lead to more sustainable and successful outcomes in community-driven development projects.

Village Planning and Development

Village planning and development are essential for improving the quality of life and the welfare of the community. As legal entities closely connected to the social, cultural, and economic aspects of local life, villages play a pivotal role in achieving national development goals. Despite their limited authority, villages serve as the closest governmental entities to the community, making them uniquely positioned to address welfare objectives effectively (Hanif Nurcholis & Susi Dwi Harijanti, 2021). Within the governance framework, the Village Deliberation Forum (MUSDES) holds the highest decision-making authority, engaging all elements of the community in the process.

The process of village development planning is systematic, led by the village government with the participation of the Village Consultative Body (BPD) and the community, to create development programs. This planning process must be participatory, utilizing all available village resources and focusing on enhancing community well-being. According to the Minister of Home Affairs Regulation No. 114 of 2014, village development planning should occur annually and involve a broad range of stakeholders. Additionally, the process should remain flexible, enabling it to adapt to external changes and evolving development priorities over time.

Village development planning is composed of two key components: the Village Medium-Term Development Plan (RPJM Desa), which spans six years, and the Village Government Work Plan (RKP Desa), which provides an annual breakdown of the RPJM Desa. Community participation in this planning process extends beyond mere decision-making; it involves the community as active partners throughout the development cycle. Ongoing participation ensures that village development reflects the true needs and aspirations of the local community, leading to more sustainable and inclusive outcomes.

Several foundational concepts from village development theory provide important guidance for this process. Chambers, (1997) emphasizes the value of participatory

development, while Korten, (1980) underscores the necessity of local economic and political power to drive meaningful change. Hatta, (1985) defines development as a collective effort to mobilize the community to improve their circumstances. Additionally, Siagian, (2016) stresses the principles of transparency, participation, accountability, and sustainability in development. These theories offer valuable insights for designing more effective village development policies, ensuring that growth is sustainable, inclusive, and aligned with the needs of the community.

Method

This study employs a qualitative descriptive method, aiming to provide an accurate representation of the current state of the research subject based on factual data. The data sources are categorized into primary and secondary data. Primary data are obtained through interviews with key informants, including representatives from the Village Government, the Village Consultative Body (BPD), community leaders, village cadres, and members of the general Nusa Maju Village community. These interviews provide firsthand information to assess the extent of community participation in development planning. Secondary data, in contrast, are gathered through observations and documentation, serving as supplementary materials to support and enrich the research findings.

The study utilizes three main data collection techniques: observation, interviews, and documentation. These methods are essential for gaining a comprehensive understanding of the community's involvement in development processes. Data analysis follows a qualitative descriptive approach, specifically using the Miles and Huberman model, (2014). This approach involves three key steps: data reduction, data display, and drawing or verifying conclusions. These processes allow the research to manage large volumes of qualitative data systematically, identifying meaningful patterns and themes that are crucial for understanding the subject matter.

The Miles and Huberman model is particularly suited for this study as it provides a structured framework for managing and interpreting qualitative data. By applying this model, the research ensures the organization and analysis of data are both rigorous and coherent, leading to insightful conclusions. This approach is especially valuable in exploring

community participation, as it facilitates the identification of factors that influence involvement and supports the drawing of valid conclusions based on the data.

Discussion of Research Results

Based on field data, community participation in village development planning in Nusa Maju Village occurs in four key stages:

Planning Stage

At this stage, community participation aligns with Cohen and Uphoff's framework of planning involvement (Sopahella & Umiyati, n.d.), which underscores the importance of gathering ideas and reaching a collective consensus. Participation during the planning phase is crucial, as it establishes the foundation for subsequent development activities and helps shape the overall direction and priorities of future initiatives. Examples of community engagement at this stage include attending village meetings, proposing development ideas, and participating in joint decision-making processes.

The implementation of the Community Development and Empowerment Program (P3MD), along with the use of local resources and budget allocations from the central government, plays a vital role in village planning. The community's involvement in these decision-making processes is especially significant, as it ensures that development priorities reflect the true needs and aspirations of local residents.

Findings from this study reveal a high level of community engagement during the planning stage, demonstrated by active participation in village deliberations, contributions of ideas, and involvement in final decision-making. The primary objectives of this participation are to express community aspirations, enhance motivation, encourage broader involvement, and foster a sense of ownership over development efforts.

Implementation Stage

Community participation remains robust during the implementation stage, with local residents actively engaging in various aspects of the development process (Haldane et al., 2019). Their involvement includes offering ideas for project execution, proposing solutions to challenges encountered during development, and participating directly in the activities.

Additionally, community members make material contributions, such as providing resources, funds, food, and even land, which further enhance the impact of development initiatives.

The active participation of the community during this stage fosters a strong sense of responsibility and ownership over the outcomes of the projects. This heightened engagement, in turn, increases the likelihood of sustainability for these initiatives. Interviews with community members reveal their substantial contributions to both shaping and implementing development programs in Nusa Maju Village. By incorporating a wide array of perspectives, the village administration is able to make more effective use of local resources, ensuring that the development process is both inclusive and efficient.

Further examples of participation include voluntary labor in communal activities such as Gotong Royong and Cash-for-Work Programs (PKTD). Beyond labor, the community also provides donations of materials, money, food, and land to support the progress of various development projects. Women, in particular, contribute significantly by supplying food and other essential resources, highlighting their commitment to the community's growth and well-being. These collective efforts reflect a high level of engagement and dedication to the village's development.

Evaluation and Monitoring Stage

In contrast to earlier stages, community participation during the evaluation and monitoring phase is relatively low, with only a small portion of the community actively engaged (George et al., 2015). This phase focuses on assessing whether development projects align with the initial plans and whether they have achieved their intended objectives. Monitoring is essential in project management, as it ensures the effectiveness of implementation and addresses any deviations from the original plan in a timely manner.

Although some community members, representatives from the Village Consultative Body (BPD), and local leaders are involved in monitoring activities, the overall level of participation remains limited. Interviews indicate that many community members view monitoring as a responsibility that rests solely with village authorities, resulting in minimal involvement in the reporting process. This underscores the need for greater public awareness and education regarding the importance of community oversight to ensure transparency and accountability in development projects.

Utilization of Development Outcomes

At this stage, community participation is notably strong, as residents recognize the significance of development outcomes and actively express interest in being involved. They contribute to the upkeep and maintenance of the infrastructure and facilities provided, viewing development as a shared resource that benefits the entire community.

Research findings confirm that completed projects, such as the construction of farm roads, have provided substantial benefits to local residents. Document reviews and interviews further support this, revealing that various community groups actively utilize the outcomes of these development initiatives. A strong sense of ownership among the residents ensures that these projects remain functional and continue to offer long-term benefits. By taking responsibility for maintaining infrastructure and raising awareness about its importance, the community plays a crucial role in sustaining the positive impacts of development efforts.

Strategies to Increase Community Participation

To enhance community involvement in village development, the Nusa Maju Village Government has implemented several strategic initiatives. One of the key approaches is increasing transparency and accountability by ensuring that development projects and budget information are clear and accessible to the public. This openness allows the community to stay well-informed and hold the government accountable for its actions.

Another important strategy is focused on building community capacity. By offering training and educational programs, the village government equips residents with the skills and knowledge needed to actively participate in development planning. This empowerment allows the community to engage more meaningfully in the development process.

In addition, the government has prioritized fostering inclusive community involvement by creating initiatives that encourage participation across various projects. These efforts ensure that every community member, regardless of their background, has the opportunity to contribute. Strengthening partnerships with key stakeholders, such as the private sector and non-governmental organizations (NGOs), further supports development efforts by bringing in external resources and expertise.

Improving communication and raising awareness is also a central focus. The village government utilizes diverse communication channels to effectively inform and engage the

community. Lastly, the creation of an inclusive organizational structure ensures that all community groups, including women and marginalized individuals, have a voice in decision-making processes, fostering equity and inclusivity in village development.

Conclusion

Community participation in the development process in Nusa Maju Village spans across several stages, including planning, implementation, evaluation, and utilization. While challenges such as limited access to information and economic constraints hinder active participation, the overall level of community involvement during the planning phase has been deemed adequate.

The village government has made notable efforts to create opportunities for the community to engage in decision-making and development activities. Key initiatives, such as enhancing transparency and accountability, facilitating community engagement, building partnerships, and promoting an inclusive organizational structure, have played a crucial role in encouraging community participation in the village's development process.

Recommendations

Based on the research findings, several strategies can be implemented to further enhance community participation in village development. First, village leadership should focus on strengthening relationships with community leaders, religious figures, women's representatives, and the broader population to ensure that development planning accurately reflects residents' needs. Engaging a diverse range of voices will contribute to more effective and inclusive decision-making.

Furthermore, village officials should take a proactive leadership role in motivating the community to actively participate in development initiatives. Awareness campaigns, socialization efforts, and direct interaction with residents can emphasize the importance of community involvement at every stage of development. Additionally, organizing leadership training programs for youth and women will empower them to take a more active role in decision-making. Increasing transparency through regular meetings between the village government and residents will also foster trust and accountability in the development process.

Limitations

This study has several limitations. Firstly, the research was limited to Nusa Maju Village, which means that the findings may not fully represent the conditions of other villages with different characteristics. Additionally, the study was conducted within a constrained timeframe and with limited resources, which may have influenced the depth and breadth of the data collected. Consequently, some aspects of community participation may not have been thoroughly explored.

Furthermore, the study primarily gathered responses from community leaders and village officials, with the perspectives of the general population being underrepresented. This limitation may have impacted the accuracy and comprehensiveness of the findings.

Suggestions for Future Research

Future research could expand to include other villages with diverse characteristics, providing a more comprehensive understanding of community participation in development. Employing various research methods, such as quantitative or mixed-methods approaches, would allow for a broader and more detailed analysis of community involvement.

Moreover, future studies should prioritize including a larger and more diverse group of participants to ensure a more representative view of community participation. This should involve not only community leaders and officials but also ordinary residents. By doing so, researchers can capture a more accurate representation of the levels and types of participation across different segments of the population.

References

- Arifa, I. N. (2019). Community Participation and Government Role in Using Village Funds in Dlingo Village. *Policy & Governance Review*, 3(2). <https://doi.org/10.30589/pgr.v3i2.129>
- Arnstein, S. R. (2019). A Ladder of Citizen Participation. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 85(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/01944363.2018.1559388>
- Chambers, R. (1987). Pembangunan Masyarakat Desa, mulai dari Belakang. In *LP3ES*. LP3ES.
- Chambers, R. (1997). Whose Reality Counts? Putting the First Last. In *Whose reality counts? Putting the first last*. Intermediate Technology Publications.

- Freitasl, A. da C., & Costa, H. da. (2022). Enhancing Community Welfare: Analysis of Village Fund Management in the Socio-Economic Sector. *Law and Economics*, 16(1). <https://doi.org/10.35335/laweco.v16i1.49>
- George, A. S., Mehra, V., Scott, K., & Sriram, V. (2015). Community Participation in Health Systems Research: A Systematic Review Assessing the State of Research, the Nature of Interventions Involved and the Features of Engagement with Communities. In *PLoS ONE* (Vol. 10, Issue 10). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0141091>
- Haldane, V., Chuah, F. L. H., Srivastava, A., Singh, S. R., Koh, G. C. H., Seng, C. K., & Legido-Quigley, H. (2019). Community Participation in Health Services Development, Implementation, and Evaluation: A Systematic Review of Empowerment, Health, Community, and Process Outcomes. In *PLoS ONE* (Vol. 14, Issue 5). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0216112>
- Hanif Nurcholis, & Susi Dwi Harijanti. (2021). *Negara Bagian dan Pemerintahan Lokal (State and Local Government)*. Universitas Terbuka.
- Hatta, M. (1985). *Ekonomi Indonesia di Masa Datang*. Universitas Indonesia Press.
- Ibran. (2018). Partisipasi Masyarakat dalam Pembangunan Desa (Studi di Desa Lerepako Kecamatan Laeya Kabupaten Konawe Selatan). *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan Geografi*, 3(2). <https://ojs.uho.ac.id/index.php/ppg/article/view/9151/6472>
- Irawan, H. (2024). Peran ekonomi Syariah yang Inklusi dalam Mendorong Pertumbuhan Ekonomi. *Jurnal Penelitian Ilmiah Multidisiplin*, 8(11), 141–146. <https://sejurnal.com/pub/index.php/jpim/article/view/5155>
- Jacobsen, K. (2002). Livelihoods in Conflict: The Pursuit of Livelihoods by Refugees and the Impact on the Human Security of Host Communities. *International Migration*, 40(5 SPECIAL ISSUE 2). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2435.00213>
- Kala, D., & Bagri, S. C. (2018). Barriers to Local Community Participation in Tourism Development: Evidence from Mountainous State Uttarakhand, India. *Tourism*, 66(3). <https://hrck.srce.hr/clanak/303072>
- Korten, D. C. (1980). Community Organization and Rural Development: A Learning Process Approach. *Public Administration Review*, 40(5). <https://doi.org/10.2307/3110204>
- Li, J., Cai, X., & Wamsiedel, M. (2024). Perceptions, Opportunities and Barriers of Social Engagement among the Chinese Older Adults: a Qualitative Study. *BMC Geriatrics*, 24(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-024-05629-3>
- Lucky, O. (2022). Significance of Community Participation in Rural Development. *International Journal of Agriculture and Earth Science*, 2(2). <https://rb.gy/pqimxn>
- Mcfarlane, A. (2000). When Inclusion Leads to Exclusion: The Uncharted Terrain of Community Participation in Economic Development. *Brooklyn Law Review*, 66(3). <https://brooklynworks.brooklaw.edu/blr/vol66/iss3/5/>
- Miles, H. & S. (2014). *Qualitative Data Analysis: A Methods Sourcebook*. Sage, 30(25).
- Putnam, R. D. (1995). Bowling Alone: America's Declining Social Capital. *Journal of Democracy*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.1353/jod.1995.0002>
- Siagian, S. P. (2016). *Administrasi Pembangunan: Konsep, Dimensi, dan Strateginya*. Bumi Aksara.

//10.103.0.43:80%2Findex.php%3Fp%3Dshow_detail%26id%3D205%26keywords%3D

- Sopahella, D. V., & Umiyati, S. (n.d.). Community Participation in Management of The Sustainable Food House Area Program in Macari Village. *INNOVATIVE: Journal of Social Science Research*, 4, 3827–3837. Retrieved April 20, 2025, from <https://j-innovative.org/index.php/Innovative/article/view/15427/10393>
- Suškevičs, M., Eiter, S., Martinat, S., Stober, D., Vollmer, E., de Boer, C. L., & Buchecker, M. (2019). Regional Variation in Public Acceptance of Wind Energy Development in Europe: What are the Roles of Planning Procedures and Participation? *Land Use Policy*, 81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2018.10.032>